

Severe Impact Resilience: Assessment Framework for Compound Threats

Presenter: Dr. Amy Babay, University of Pittsburgh Project PI: Dr. Imes Chiu, USACE–ERDC—CERL



Distribution Statement A: Approved for Public Release Distribution Unlimited



Project Team

- PI: Dr. Imes Chiu, US Army Corps of Engineers—Engineer Research and Development Center—Construction Engineering Research Lab
- Co-PIs: Dr. Amy Babay¹, Dr. Yair Amir², Dr. John van de Lindt³, Dr. Mathaios Panteli⁴, Dr. Linton Wells II
- Students: Benjamin Gilby¹, Maher Khan¹, Sahiti Bommareddy²
- ¹University of Pittsburgh, ²Johns Hopkins University, ³VDL Risk Solutions LLC, ⁴University of Cyprus



Motivation

- The joint threats of increasingly frequent and severe natural disasters and increasingly sophisticated malicious cyberattacks pose a serious threat to US critical infrastructure systems
- Compound threats, involving both natural hazards and cyberattacks (which may exploit hazard conditions to cause further infrastructure damage or prolong recovery) are not well understood today
 - impact on control systems (micro-level)
 - impact on surrounding communities (macro-level)
- As these threats become increasingly realistic, it is crucial to build infrastructure systems that can withstand them with minimal disruption



Satellite Images Find Substantial Spill in Gulf After Ida

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/09/04/climate/oil-spill-hurricane-ida.html

https://www.nytimes.com/2021/09/04/climate/oil-spill-hurricaneida.html



Colonial Pipeline system map

— Pipeline system **—** Sublines • Main weekend delivery locations Linden, New Jersey Greensboro Charlotte COLONIAL PIPELINE CO. Spartanburgh Atlanta Meridian A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACT OF Houston, 200km ____ Texas 200 miles Google Source: Colonial Pipeline Company BBC

Project Objectives

- Develop a realistic compound threat scenario relevant to USINDOPACIFIC Command (case study)
- Develop a framework to model resilience to compound threats in the context of the (generalizable) scenario
- Develop architectures and recommendations for the design of critical infrastructure control systems that can improve resilience under the compound threat model
- Validate the framework in a testbed environment





Case Study

- We have developed a scenario representing a hurricane strike on Oahu, Hawaii that impacts the electrical power grid and pipeline systems on the island
- Our preliminary results consider:
 - a Category 2 hurricane and associated flooding impact on the power grid
 - 3 different architectures for power grid Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA)
 - Cyberattacks including *network attacks* and *intrusions*
 - network attack: disconnects a site
 - intrusion: attacker gains access to a control server



Electricity power grid topology

(https://www.kalanienglish.com/news_advertiser_06101 7.php, augmented with data center locations)





Case Study: Traditional Single-Control-Center Architecture

- One control center, located at Waiau power plant
- This architecture is vulnerable to:
 - Flooding at the Waiau power plant
 - Network attack that disconnects the Waiau control center
 - Intrusion attack that compromises a SCADA Master



Traditional single-control-center architecture





Case Study: Modern Primary-Backup Architecture

- Backup control center can take over if primary fails
- Primary located at Waiau power plant, backup at Honolulu power plant
- This architecture can recover from:
 - Flooding or network attack at Waiau power plant
- This architecture is still vulnerable to:
 - Combinations of flooding and network attacks that affect **both** sites
 - Intrusion attack that compromises a SCADA Master



Modern primary backup architecture





Case Study: Intrusion-Tolerant Architecture

- Designed to withstand a compromised SCADA control server, and a network attack that succeeds in disconnecting a control center
- Waiau and Honolulu control centers are simultaneously active, with additional data center support from AlohaNap or DCFortress
- This architecture can seamlessly withstand:
 - Flooding or network attack at any one site + intrusion that compromises a SCADA master
- This architecture is still vulnerable to:
 - Combinations of flooding and network attacks that affect two or more sites



Intrusion-tolerant architecture [BTAPA18]





Initial Modeling Approach

- We model:
 - The probability of each site (control center, power plant, substation, data center) becoming non-operational due to **flooding** from hurricane storm surge
 - Initial modeling is somewhat limited, providing independent probabilities for site flooding across the system topology
 - **Operational status** of the overall SCADA system post-hurricane
 - Effects of **cyber-intrusion or network attack** on post-hurricane status:
 - Fully correct, no service disruption
 - Temporary service disruption to fail over to backup control center
 - Service outage requiring (at a minimum) repairs to correct
 - Compromised and able to be controlled by a malicious cyber-attacker



Preliminary Findings: Hurricane Impact

- Initial results suggest hurricane has high probability of flooding each control center (> 70%)
- This leads to a high probability of overall system outage post-hurricane, (> 50%) for all architectures
- Primary-Backup architecture improves resilience by failing over to backup if primary control center is flooded
- Intrusion-Tolerant architecture avoids service disruption due to failover, as additional sites are already active





Preliminary Findings: Compound Impact Hurricane + Intrusion

- Only the intrusion-tolerant system can remain correct during a successful cyberintrusion occurring post-hurricane
- In all other architectures, an attacker can gain control of the SCADA system and cause damage





Preliminary Findings: Compound Impact Hurricane + Network Attack

- Outage probability if a network denial-of-service attack succeeds in disconnecting a control center post-hurricane is extremely high for all architectures (> 90%)
- Intuition: with each control center having > 70% probability to be flooded by the hurricane, it is highly likely that at least one of them will be flooded. A sophisticated attacker can then target the other control center to cause an outage.
- Worst-case analysis with powerful, know-all attacker





Preliminary Findings: Takeaways

- Preliminary findings show <u>extremely high outage likelihoods for all</u> <u>existing architectures</u>.
- This project aims to improve this situation. We plan to:
 - Refine our modeling approach
 - Develop new design recommendations and system architectures to improve resilience
 - Expand analysis to consider effects on gas pipeline SCADA system, recovery timelines, and effects on surrounding communities



Next Steps: Modeling

- We plan to refine our modeling approach with respect to:
 - <u>Hurricane effects</u>: we plan to model a suite of specific hurricane scenarios that capture correlations in failure likelihood across sites
 - <u>Attacker power</u>: in addition to the worst-case analysis with powerful know-all attacker considered so far, we plan to develop more realistic probabilistic models of the attacker's ability to target specific sites and servers



Next Steps: System Design

• We plan to:

- Develop **design recommendations** for improved resilience to hurricanerelated flooding and cyberattacks within current system architectures
 - Can alternative control center locations support higher resilience? (currently, only network-resilience considerations are taken into account)
- Develop alternative architectures that can improve overall system resilience to the compound threats we consider, especially in the presence of sophisticated network attacks
 - Currently investigating **reconfigurable** system architectures (this includes in-band and out-of-band reconfigurations)



Next Steps: Expanding the Analysis

17

- Physical infrastructure systems support social and economic institutions within communities and regions
 - Schools, healthcare
- Resilience metrics include a number of different areas such as
 - Population dislocation by race/ethnicity, income, tenancy status
 - Economic changes local gross product, household income, tax revenue
 - Building functionality
 - Functionality of critical services, for example electricity and water supply
- Interdependencies must be accounted for between critical systems and sectors





Next Steps: Expanding the Analysis

- Analyze the full "skeleton" version of the community to
 - Assess community resilience metrics for baseline case
 - Assess community resilience for alternative architectures highlighted earlier
- Discuss need for additional physical systems



